

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231

SEPIGI S	५ (४ वृह्म 💎 🖂 🔻				
()	9/376,794	08/18/99	KROPKE	F	BEIERSDORF-5
		» <del>«</del>			,
			HM12/0308	13.43	1167
S	SPRUNG KRAMER SHAEFER & 660 WHITE PLAINS ROAD TARRYTOWN NY 10591-5144	BRISCOE	KISHORE,G		
					PAPER NUMBER
•				1615	9
					03/08/01

Below is a communication from the EXAMINER in charge of this application COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS

	ADVISORY ACTION							
Z	THE	E PERIO	FOR RESPONSE:					
٠,			d to run Force months or continues to run from the date of the final rejection					
b)		expires t	ree months from the date of the final rejection or as of the mailing date of this Advisory Action, whichever is later. In no rever, will the statutory period for the response expire later than six months from the date of the final rejection.					
		The date	sion of time must be obtained by filing a petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a), the proposed response and the appropriate fee. on which the response, the petition, and the fee have been filed is the date of the response and also the date for the of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. Any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR e calculated from the date of the originally set shortened statutory period for response or as set forth in b) above.					
		-	ief is due in accordance with 37 CFR 1.192(a).					
W	App to p	plicant's re place the	sponse to the final rejection, filed $\frac{Z - 2C - c \int}{c}$ has been considered with the following effect, but it is not deemed oplication in condition for allowance:					
1.		The prop	sed amendments to the claim and /or specification will not be entered and the final rejection stands because:					
			re is no convincing showing under 37 CFR 1.116(b) why the proposed amendment is necessary and was not earlier sented.					
		b. 🔲 Th	raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search. (See Note).					
		c. 🔲 Th	y raise the issue of new matter. (See Note).					
			y are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for leaf.					
		e. 🗌 TI	by present additional claims without cancelling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.					
		NOTE:						
2.			oposed or amended claims would be allowed if submitted in a separately filed amendment cancelling lowable claims.					
3.	R	Upon th be as fo	filing an appeal, the proposed amendment 🎵 will be entered 🔲 will not be entered and the status of the claims will ws:					
		Claims of Claims	owed:					
4.	À	The affi	evit, exhibit or request for reconsideration has been considered but does not overcome the rejection because the nitrigically of claim is used the introd 'him - trickless meeting ne fractiones while import claim undirected the trickless which is deposed therefore, it is reasonable to question in the	, ,J				
5.		The affice present	rvit or exhibit will not be considered because applicant has not shown good and sufficent reasons why it was not earlier					
	The	e propose	drawing correction  has  has not been approved by the examiner.					
	Oth	ner	GOLLAMUDI S. KISHORE, Ph.D. PRINMARY EXAMINER CROUP 1500					

GP:OUP 1500